

United States Patent and Trademark Office



XL

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,532	07/24/2001	John A. Wheatley	54358USA5J.067	4765
1 6 0	90 06/18/2002			
Attention: Stephen C. Jensen Office of Intellectual Property Counsel 3M Innovative Properties Company P.O. Box 33427 St. Paul, MN 55133-3427		EXAMINER		
		SHAFER, RICKY D		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s) O9/911,532 WHEATLEY Examiner Group Art Unit	
Office Action Summary	09/9/1/232	Group Art Unit
	RO SHAP	
The MAN INC DATE of this communication		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet ben	eath the correspondence address—
eriod for Reply	Δ	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO F THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE IMONTH	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reference if NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	eply within the statutory minim , expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to b	um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication, secome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
itatus	1	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on3	28/02	
☐ This action is FINAL.		•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	for formal matters, prose C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	cution as to the merits is closed in
isposition of Claims		
X Claim(s) 30 - 40	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	******	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.
		is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s)		requirement
□ Claim(s) 30 ~ 40 pplication Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved 🗅	requirement
□ Claim(s) Cla	is 🗆 approved 🗅	requirement
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is 🗆 approved 🗅	requirement
□ Claim(s) 30 ~ 40 pplication Papers □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection. □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection. □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is 🗆 approved 🗅	requirement
□ Claim(s) 30 ~ 40 Claim(s) 30 ~ 40 Poplication Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection of the drawing(s) filed on is/are objection. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approved □ ted to by the Examiner	requirement disapproved.
Claim(s)	is □ approved □ ted to by the Examiner	requirement disapproved.
□ Claim(s)	is approved ted to by the Examiner the decision is approved to by the Examiner the decision is approved to be a	requirement disapproved.
□ Claim(s)	is approved ted to by the Examiner the control of t	requirement disapproved.
□ Claim(s)	is approved ted to by the Examiner the standard and the s	requirement disapproved.
□ Claim(s)	is approved ted to by the Examiner ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(acceived.	requirement disapproved. d).
□ Claim(s)	is approved ted to by the Examiner ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(acceived. acceived in Application No. is have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	requirement disapproved.
□ Claim(s)	is approved ted to by the Examiner ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(acceived. acceived in Application No. is have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	requirement disapproved.
□ Claim(s)	is approved ted to by the Examiner ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(acceived. Application No. a have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	requirement disapproved.
□ Claim(s)	is approved ted to by the Examiner ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(acceived. acceived in Application No. a have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	requirement disapproved. d). rview Summary, PTO-413
Claim(s)	is approved ted to by the Examiner ander 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(a) acceived. Application No. is have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) acceived.	requirement disapproved.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. 10

Application/Control Number: 09/911,532 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 30-35, drawn to a multilayer interference or reflective film having at least first and second diverse polymeric materials having a refractive index mismatch in at least a first plane perpendicular to the film, so as to reflect light over a range of wavelengths, wherein at least one of the first or second materials comprises a polymer selected from the group of polyethylene naphthalate and a copolymer thereof, classified in class 359, subclass 589.
- II. Claims 36-40, drawn to a multilayer interference or reflective film having at least first and second diverse polymeric materials having equal refractive indices in a first plane and a refractive index mismatch in a second plane perpendicular to the first plane, so as to reflect light having a first polarization, wherein at least one of the first or second materials comprises a polymer selected from the group of polyethylene naphthalate and a copolymer thereof, classified in class 359, subclass 500.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a multilayer interference or reflective film without being polarization sensitive (i.e. without reflect light having a first polarization) See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/911,532

Art Unit: 2872

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or have acquired a separate

status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to R.D. Shafer whose telephone number is (703) 308-4813.

RDS

June 16, 2002

RICKY I. SHAFEF
PATENT EMALENCES
ARY UNIT

Page 3